UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,498	09/29/2003	Nobuya Okayama	500.43150X00	8478
24956 7590 05/01/2007		EXAMINER		
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			WINTER, JOHN M	
SUITE 370 ALEXANDRI	Δ. V.Δ. 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDIC	n, vn 22314	N 22314		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/671,498	OKAYAMA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		John M. Winter	3621		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2007.	•		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
· · · —	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiver (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage		
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	ov (PTO-413)		
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/671,498

Art Unit: 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Response to Arguments

The Examiner acknowledges the Applicants claim to foreign priority under 35 U.S.C 119, claiming the right for priority based on Japanese Patent Application No. 2003-089681 The Applicants arguments filed on February 22,2007 have been fully considered.

The Applicant states that the prior art reference fails to discloses the amended features of "deleted license corresponding to a license return request; receiving from a user a license return request indicating a request to return a license granted for digital contents selected from said stored digital contents"

The Examiner states that the amended claims are rejected in view of the newly discovered reference Yang et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0169625). See following rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coley et al. (US Patent 5,790,664) in view of Christiano (US Patent 5,671,412) and further in view of Yang et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0169625).

Application/Control Number: 10/671,498

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 1,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management method for managing licenses and privileges granted for digital contents to users, comprising the steps of:

registering licenses for digital contents requested to be purchased by each user; registering association of said registered licenses with each user in a database; (Column 14, lines 13-44)

deleting a license from said database; (Column 14, lines 57-67)

specifying a user corresponding to said deleted license; (Column 14, lines 57-67)

associating said specified user with a privilige corresponding to contents of said deleted license or with a privilege corresponding to said license return request for use of the contents which can be recycled. (Column 14, lines 57-67)

Coley et al. ('664) does not explicitly disclose a storing the digital contents having said registered licenses and information concerning said licenses. Christiano discloses storing the digital contents having said registered licenses and information concerning said licenses (Figure 11) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Coley et al. ('664)'s system with Christiano's teaching in order to profile customer usage of licenses.

Coley et al. ('664) does not explicitly disclose said deleted license corresponding to a license return request; receiving from a user a license return request indicating a request to return a license granted for digital contents selected from said stored digital contents. Yang et al. ('625) discloses said deleted license corresponding to a license return request; receiving from a user a license return request indicating a request to return a license granted for digital contents selected from said stored digital contents (Paragraphs 83-84) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Coley et al. ('664)'s system with Yang et al. ('625) 's teaching in order to purge the database of discontinued and expired licences.

Claims 6, 7, 11 and 18 are in parallel with claim 1 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 2,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management method according to claim 1,

further comprising the steps of: processing said request to return a license granted for digital contents as collection or purchase of said license; (Column 14, lines 57-67)

storing information concerning collection or purchase of said license in said database while associating said information with a user corresponding to said license designated by said license return request. (Column 16, lines 20-38)

As per claim 3,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management method according to claim 2,

Application/Control Number: 10/671,498

Art Unit: 3621

*5* 

further comprising the step of storing a privilege corresponding to said license return request while associating said privilege with said information concerning collection or purchase of said license. (Column 16, lines 20-38)

As per claim 4,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management method according to claim 1,

Official Notice is taken that "privilege corresponding to said license return request is version upgrade of digital contents corresponding to said deleted license" is common and well known in prior art in reference to software distribution. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to delete a license record in response to a request for an upgrade because the upgraded software might have a different record structure thean ther prior version.

Claims 10 and 14 are in parallel with claim 4 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 5,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management method according to claim 1,

Official Notice is taken that "privilege is points that can be used for purchase of digital contents" is common and well known in prior art in reference to software distribution. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a point system to promote customer loyaly

Claims 9 and 15 are in parallel with claim 5 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 8,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents management apparatus according to claim 6,

further comprising a user management database for managing identification information of the user, the license corresponding to the user and the privilege granted to the user while associating the identification information, the license and the privilege with one another. (Column 14, lines 13-56)

As per claim 12,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management system according to claim 11,

wherein: said license return request to return a license granted for digital contents is for collection or purchase of said license; and said contents management device stores information concerning collection or purchase of said license while said information is associated with a user corresponding to said license designated by said license return request. (Column 14, lines 13-56)

As per claim 13,

Art Unit: 3621

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management system according to claim 12,

wherein said contents management device stores a privilege corresponding to said license return request while said privilege is associated with said information concerning collection or purchase of said license. (Column 14, lines 13-56)

As per claim 13,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management system according to claim 12,

As per claim 16,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management system according to claim 12,

wherein said contents management device stores information concerning collection or purchase of said license on the basis of transaction information of said license stored in said contents storage device. (Column 14, lines 13-56)

As per claim 17,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a digital contents license and privilege management system according to claim 12,

wherein said contents management device sends information concerning the status of digital contents designated by said license return request and a privilege corresponding to said license return request to an external device. (Column 14, lines 13-56)

As per claim 19,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a computer program according to claim 18,

wherein: said license return request is for collection or purchase of said license; and said computer program further comprises the step of storing information concerning collection or purchase of said license while associating said information with a user corresponding to said license designated by said license return request. (Column 14, lines 13-56)

As per claim 20,

Coley et al. ('664) discloses a computer program according to claim 19,

further comprising the step of storing a privilege corresponding to said license return request while associating said privilege with said information concerning collection or purchase of said license. (Column 14, lines 13-56)

## Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references

Art Unit: 3621

in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Winter

Patent Examiner -- 3621

ANDREW J. FISCHER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600